

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,142	07/25/2003	Ignatius Xavier Haase	02-10635	9437
	7590 12/26/2001 S OF DAVID L. HOFF	EXAMINER		
28494 WESTINGHOUSE PLACE			BLACKWELL, JAMES H	
SUITE 204 VALENCIA, C	A 91355		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

AR

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Madian at Abandanana	10/628,142	HAASE, IGNATI	HAASE, IGNATIUS XAVIER		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James H. Blackwell	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	······································		dress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of time of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of time of time of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of time of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of tim	f Mailing or Transmission date	d), which is after the	expiration of the		
(b) A proposed reply was received on 31 July 2007, bu rejection.	t it does not constitute a prope	er reply under 37 CFR 1.113	(a) to the final		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejecti application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fil Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	ed Notice of Appeal (with app				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not const final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (Se			y, to the non-		
(d) No reply has been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL 		e, within the statutory period	of three months		
 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). 					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balan	nce of \$ is due.		•		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has	not been received.		-		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). 	quired by, and within the three	e-month period set in, the No	tice of		
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailin	g or Transmission dated), which is		
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record	, the assignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interf of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla		d because the period for see	king court review		
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:					
See Continuation Sheet					
	÷				
		/Doug Hutton/ Supervisory Patent E Technology Center 2			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to without minimize any negative effects on patent term.	draw the holding of abandonment	under 37 CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to		

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment:

Applicant was contacted soon after the 6 month statutory period for reply had lapsed (11/02/2007) for reply to a Final Rejection mailed on 05/01/2007. Applicant stated that a reply (i.e., a Request for Reconsideration including claim amendments) was filed 07/31/2007 but had apparently been lost by the USPTO. Applicant resubmitted their reply by fax on 11/14/2007, which was after the 6-month period for reply had lapsed. An Advisory Action was mailed on 12/10/2007, indicating that the reply does not place the application in condition for allowance. Thus, the application was abandoned on 11/02/2007, since the Applicant did not file any communication that would have kept the application pending (e.g., an RCE or a Notice of Allowance). Applicant may petition to revive the application, if such action is appropriate.